En route to a carbohydrate-based vaccine against Burkholderia cepacia

Régis Fauré, Tze Chieh Shiao, David Lagnoux, Denis Giguère and René Roy*

Received 4th June 2007, Accepted 22nd June 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 13th July 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b708365c

We report a very high yielding first total synthesis of trisaccharide 5, α -D-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -D-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 4)- α -D-Galp, corresponding to the repeating unit 1 of an O-polysaccharide present in the lipopolysaccharide of clinical isolate of *Burkholderia cepacia*. The approach included two successive glycosylations, based on D-rhamnosyl trichloroacetimidate donors 12 and 14. The oligosaccharide 5 has been further functionalized by photochemical coupling or cross-metathesis with non-natural amino acid derivatives. Trisaccharidylamino acids 16 and 17 are now available, with the aim of preparing a novel synthetic carbohydrate-based vaccine.

Introduction

Over the past two decades, Burkholderia cepacia (B. cepacia), initially known to cause onion bulb rot, has emerged as an important life-threatening opportunistic pathogen, especially for patients afflicted with cystic fibrosis. Pulmonary colonisation by these bacteria can lead to an accelerated decline in lung function and, in some cases, to a fatal necrotizing pneumonia, known as "cepacia syndrome". Despite such devastating effects on cystic fibrosis and immunocompromised patients, B. cepacia is also considered by the agricultural industry to be a potentially useful biopesticide. In addition, lipase from this organism has attracted considerable interest from the biotechnological industry for catalytic applications. Therefore, at present there is a clear conflict of interest between public health and industrial objectives.² To complicate matters, B. cepacia, a Gram-negative bacterium, displays multiple antibiotic resistance and is highly virulent and transmissible.3 Taken together, these factors provide motivation for efforts aimed at the identification of an efficient therapeutic and preventative strategy.

Two types of polysaccharide, 1 and 2, are present in the Ochain of the lipopolysaccharide of B. cepacia (Fig. 1).4 These possess two and three unusual D-rhamnosyl residues respectively. These polysaccharides are thought to be interesting targets for vaccine development. Using the rhamnosyl-containing motifs as oligosaccharidic haptens coupled to an appropriate immunogenic peptide or protein carrier (T-cell epitope), it would be possible to induce a specific immune response against this pathogen. Indeed, the oligosaccharide-peptide conjugates will be capable of initiating a display of immunologic signals that result in both humoral and cell-mediated responses, notably through the major histocompatibility complex (MHC); thus resulting in an antibody secretion and an antigen-specific memory cell formation. Over the last decade, synthetic carbohydrate-based vaccines have emerged as powerful therapeutic agents.5 Both our group and others have developed such glycoconjugate vaccines against Haemophilus influenzae type b,6 and towards a variety of illnesses such as

Équipe PharmaQÀM, Département de Chimie, Université du Québec à Montréal, P. O. Box 8888, Succ. Centre-Ville, H3C 3P8, Montréal, Québec, Canada. E-mail: roy.rene@uqam.ca; Fax: +1 514 987 4054; Tel: +1 514 987 3000#2546

cancer,⁷ malaria,⁸ and even HIV.⁹ However, one of the major problems associated with the elaboration of such carbohydrate-based vaccines is the poor synthetic yield of complex oligosaccharides. Consequently, it is essential to develop efficient synthesis strategies.

$$\rightarrow$$
3)- α -D-Rha p -(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -D-Rha p -(1 \rightarrow 4)- α -D-Gal p -(1 \rightarrow 1 (major)
$$\rightarrow$$
3)- α -D-Rha p -(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -D-Rha p -(1 \rightarrow 2 (minor)

Fig. 1 Linear trisaccharide repeating units of two polysaccharides present in the lipopolysaccharide of a clinical isolate of *B. cepacia*.

Herein, we report the first total synthesis, in very high yield, of the major trisaccharide repeating unit 1 of the *O*-polysaccharide of *B. cepacia*, as the first step toward a fully synthetic carbohydrate-based vaccine

Results and discussion

Lipopolysaccharides are the major components of the outer surface of Gram-negative bacteria. These are of interest in medicine for their immunogenic properties. Given the fact that D-rhamnose is only encountered in microorganisms, notably in the O-antigens of *B. cepacia*, and not in humans, animals or plants, rhamnose-containing conjugates are promising therapeutic targets. Potential vaccines 3 or 4 could be obtained from a common *O*-allyl intermediate 5 (Scheme 1). Thiol addition using protected cysteine or cross-metathesis with protected allyl glycine on 5 gave the desired intermediates *en route* to the vaccine, the thioether 3 or the *C*-analogue 4, respectively. Trisaccharide 5 was synthesized from dirhamnoside 6 and tribenzoylated *O*-allylgalactoside 7. The key intermediate 6 was assembled from the glycosylation of acceptor 9 and donor 12, both derived from D-rhamnoside 8.

In order to synthesize dirhamnoside **6** (Scheme 2), readily available rhamnoside **8** (prepared in 87% yield over five steps from D-mannose, using sequential glycosidation, iodination and reduction) was first converted into 3-*O*-glycosyl acceptor **9** in 76% over four steps, through selective benzylation. ¹⁰ Hemiacetal **11**, obtained by benzoylation of unprotected rhamnoside **8** followed by oxidative deprotection of the *p*-methoxyphenyl

$$\alpha$$
-D-Rha p -(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -D-Rha p -(1 \rightarrow 4)- α -D-Gal p = 0

3 X = S, n = 1
4 X = CH₂, n = 0

AcHN

T-cell epitope

BzO

BzO

BzO

BzO

OBz

Addition

Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of targeted synthetic carbohydrate-based vaccines 3 and 4.

group of 10 using cerium(IV) in nearly quantitative yield, ¹⁰ was then activated with trichloroacetonitrile in basic media to afford glycosyl trichloroacetimidate donor 12 in 96% yield. Coupling 3-*O*-unprotected rhamnoside acceptor 9 with rhamnosyl trichloroacetimidate 12 using trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf) as activator afforded dirhamnoside 6 in almost quantitative yield.

The same strategy was applied toward the synthesis of dirhamnosyl donor 14, *i.e.* removal of the *p*-methoxyphenyl group on 6 and then trichloroacetimidate synthesis from hemiacetal 10 performed in 89% yield over two steps. Galactoside 7 was obtained by selective tribenzoylation of commercial allyl α -D-galactopyranoside in 88% yield.¹¹ Despite the lack of reactivity of the axial 4-OH in the galactoside acceptor 7, subsequent coupling with dirhamnosyl trichloroacetimidate 14 was achieved using TMSOTf to give key trisaccharide 5 in 66% yield.

Synthesis of trisaccharide **5** was thus performed in 16 linear steps, from D-mannose, with an overall yield of 36%. The α -stereochemistry of the *O*-glycosidic linkages was ascertained by comparison of experimental ${}^{1}J_{\text{C-1,H-1}}$ coupling constants (171.4, 173.4 and 172.3 Hz), determined by a coupled HSQC experiment, with known data for α -glycosides (ca. 170 Hz). 12

Two different approaches were used for final functionalisation of allyl trisaccharide **5** (Scheme 3). The first one consisted of a radical addition with N-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester, leading to trisaccharide **15** in 79% yield. The second conjugation consisted of a cross-metathesis between **5** and commercially available N-acetyl-L-allylglycine methyl ester using Grubbs' first-generation catalyst. Hydrogenolysis of the nascent double bond in the resulting mixture of E- and Z-stereoisomers using 10% palladium-oncarbon gave **16** in 60% yield over two steps. Global deprotection was achieved on thioether **15** using LiOH to afford the ultimate intermediate **17** in quantitative yield, ready for solid-phase peptidic coupling.

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (i) N-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester, AIBN, THF, 254 nm, rt, 24 h, 79%; (ii) N-acetyl-L-allylglycine methyl ester, Grubbs' first-generation catalyst, CH₂Cl₂; then H₂, 10% Pd/C, MeOH, 60%; (iii) LiOH, THF–H₂O, quantitative yield.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a very high-yielding synthesis towards a new potential carbohydrate-based vaccine against *B. cepacia*. Coupling of trisaccharide 16 or unprotected trisaccharide 17 with a T-cell epitope is currently under investigation. Finally, dirhamnosyl donor 14 is also a key intermediate for the synthesis of the minor trisaccharidic repeating unit 2, which is also under study.

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (i) see ref. 10: 76% (over four steps); (ii) BzCl, pyridine, rt, 12 h, 99%; (iii) CAN, toluene–acetonitrile–water, rt, 12 h, 11: 99%, 13: 95%; (iv) CCl₃CN, DBU, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1 h, 12: 96%, 14: 94%; (v) TMSOTf, 4 Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, -50 °C, 30 min, 6: 99%, 5: 66%.

Experimental

General methods

All reactions in organic media were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere using freshly distilled solvents.15 After work-up, organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Evolution of reactions was monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography using silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ precoated plates (E. Merck). Purifications by column chromatography were performed using silica gel Si 60 (40– 63 µm) with the indicated eluent. Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO P-1010 polarimeter. Melting points were measured on a Fisher Jones apparatus. Roman numerals in ascending order are given to the residues from the reducing end. NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Gemini 300 and Gemini 500 spectrometers. Proton and carbon chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm downfield from TMS and/or with internal reference of residual solvents. 16 Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz), and the following abbreviations are used: singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (dd), triplet (t), multiplet (m), broad (b). Analysis and assignments were made using COSY, DEPT, and HSQC experiments. Low-resolution (MS) and high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were carried out by Dr Alexandra Furtos and Karine Venne (Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, Université de Montréal, Quebec, Canada).

2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-α-D-rhamnopyranoside 4-Methoxyphenyl (10). To a cooled (0 $^{\circ}$ C) solution of rhamnoside 8^{10} (0.540 g, 2.0 mmol) in pyridine (20 mL) was added dropwise benzoyl chloride (3.4 mL, 7.2 mmol, 3.6 equiv.). After stirring for 12 h at rt, the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at 0 °C, concentrated and co-evaporated with toluene. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, then successively washed with saturated aqueous potassium hydrogensulfate, sodium hydrogencarbonate, water and brine, dried and concentrated. Flash chromatography (petroleum ether-EtOAc, 3: 1 v/v) gave the benzoylated 10 (1.160 g, 99%), which crystallized in CH₂Cl₂-petroleum ether. mp 63–65 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = 60 (c 1.0 \text{ in CHCl}_3); \delta_H (\text{CDCl}_3, 300 \text{ MHz}): 8.14–$ 7.25 (15H, m, COPh), 7.14–7.11, 6.89–6.86 (4H, m, $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 6.05 (1H, dd, $J_{3,4} = 10.0$ Hz, H-3), 5.85 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$ Hz, H-2), 5.76 (1H, t, $J_{4.5} = 10.0$ Hz, H-4), 5.63 (1H, d, $J_{1.2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-1), 4.40–4.31 (1H, m, H-5), 3.79 (3H, s, $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 1.36 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 165.7, 165.6, 165.5 (COPh), 155.2, 150.1 (C-q of $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 133.5–128.3 (COPh), 117.7, 114.7 (others $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 96.6 (C-1), 71.7, 70.7, 69.8, 67.3 (C-2 to C-5), 55.6 ($C_6H_4OCH_3$), 17.7 (C-6); ES-MS: m/z = 605.2 $(M + Na)^+$.

2,3,4-Tri-*O***-benzoyl-D-rhamnopyranose** (11). A mixture of rhamnoside **10** (0.890 g, 1.53 mmol) and ammonium cerium nitrate (CAN, 8.370 g, 15.3 mmol, 10 equiv.) in toluene–acetonitrile—water (1 : 1.4 : 1 v/v/v, 27 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ then successively washed with brine, saturated aqueous sodium hydrogencarbonate and water, dried, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (hexane–EtOAc, 3 : 1 v/v) to afford the hemiacetal **11** (0.720 g, 99%), which crystallized in petroleum ether–EtOAc. mp 204–206 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.12–7.23 (15H, m, CO*Ph*), 5.94 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$ and $J_{3,4} = 10.2$ Hz, H-3), 5.73–5.67 (2H, m, H-2 and H-4), 5.47 (1H, dd, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ and $J_{1,\rm OH} = 4.0$ Hz, H-1),

4.52–4.42 (1H, m, H-5), 3.71 (1H, d, OH-1), 1.37 (3H, d, $J_{5,6}$ = 6.3 Hz, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 165.8, 165.7, 165.6 (COPh), 133.5–128.2 (CO*Ph*), 92.2 (C-1), 71.9, 71.3, 69.7, 66.7 (C-2 to C-5), 17.7 (C-6); ES-MS: m/z = 499.1 (M + Na)⁺.

2,3,4-Tri-*O*-benzoyl-D-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (12). To a solution of the hydroxy rhamnose 11 (318 mg, 0.66 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added trichloroacetonitrile (0.34 mL, 3.34 mmol, 5 equiv.) and DBU (0.04 mL, 0.26 mmol, 0.4 equiv.). The solution was stirred for 1 h at rt, then concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (hexane–EtOAc, 5 : 1 v/v) using silica gel neutralized with triethylamine to give the trichloroacetimidate 12 (396 mg, 96%). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.83 (1H, s, NH), 8.13–7.25 (15H, m, CO*Ph*), 6.50 (1H, d, $J_{1,2}$ = 1.4 Hz, H-1) 5.93–5.88 (2H, m, H-2 and H-3), 5.79 (1H, t, $J_{3,4}$ = $J_{4,5}$ = 9.9 Hz, H-4), 4.46–4.37 (1H, m, H-5), 1.43 (3H, d, $J_{5,6}$ = 6.3 Hz, H-6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 165.6, 165.4, 165.2 (COPh), 160.0 (CNH), 133.6–128.3 (CO*Ph*), 94.7 (C-1), 71.0, 69.6, 69.6, 69.0 (C-2 to C-5), 17.7 (C-6); ES-MS: m/z = 642.1 (M + Na)⁺.

4-Methoxyphenyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-*O*-benzoyl- α -D-rhamnopyranoside (6). A suspension of trichloroacetimidate 12 (316 mg, 0.51 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), acceptor 9¹⁰ (188 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1 equiv.) and freshly powdered 4 Å molecular sieves in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was stirred for 1 h at rt under nitrogen atmosphere, cooled to −50 °C, and followed by the addition of a solution of TMSOTf (36 µL, 0.20 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). After stirring for 30 min at -50 °C, the mixture was raised to rt, neutralized by adding triethylamine, filtered through Celite, and concentrated. Flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc, 7: 1 v/v) afforded the disaccharide 6 (366 mg, 99%). $[a]_D^{25} = 78$ (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.31–7.19 (25H, m, COPh), 7.13–7.08, 6.91-6.86 (4H, m, $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 5.75-5.63 (4H, m), 5.55 (1H, t, $J_{3.4} = J_{4.5} = 9.8$ Hz, H-4^{II}), 5.36–5.34 (2H, m), 4.72 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ and $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, H-3¹), 4.29-4.16 (2H, m, H-5¹ and II), 3.80 (3H, s, $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 1.38 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6¹), 1.21 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6^{II}); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 166.1, 165.8, 165.5, 165.0, 164.7 (COPh), 155.2, 150.0 (C-q of C₆H₄OCH₃), 133.6–128.1 (COPh), 117.7, 114.7 (others $C_6H_4OCH_3$), 99.4, 96.4 $(C-1^{Iand II}, {}^{I}J_{C-1,H-1} = 171.2 \text{ and } 173.8 \text{ Hz}), 76.3, 72.9, 72.2, 71.5,$ 70.7, 69.3, 67.5, 67.4 (C-2 to C-5^{I and II}), 55.6 ($C_6H_4OCH_3$), 17.8, 17.4 (C-6^{I and II}); ES-MS: $m/z = 959.3 \text{ (M + Na)}^+$; ES-HRMS: m/z $(M + Na)^{+}$, $C_{54}H_{48}O_{15}Na$ requires 959.2885; found: 959.2877.

2,3,4-Tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-*O*-benzoyl-D-rhamnopyranose (13). A mixture of disaccharide 6 (93 mg, 99 μmol) and ammonium cerium nitrate (CAN, 548 mg, 1.0 mmol, 10 equiv.) in toluene–acetonitrile–water (1 : 1.4 : 1 v/v/v, 3.4 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ then successively washed with brine, saturated aqueous sodium hydrogencarbonate and water, dried, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (hexane–EtOAc, 3 : 1 v/v) to afford the expected hemiacetal **13** (78 mg, 95%). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.27–7.18 (25H, m, CO*Ph*), 5.66–5.47 (5H, m, H-1¹, H-2¹, H-4¹, H-3¹¹ and H-4¹¹), 5.31 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ Hz, H-2¹¹), 5.25 (1H, d, $J_{1,2} = 1.7$ Hz, H-1¹¹), 4.59 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$ and $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, H-3¹), 4.39–4.29 (1H, m, H-5¹), 4.20–4.11 (1H, m, H-5¹¹), 3.08 (1H, bs, OH-1), 1.37 (3H, d, $J_{5,6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6¹), 1.18 (3H, d, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6¹¹); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 166.2,

165.8, 165.6, 165.0, 164.7 (COPh), 133.5–128.1 (COPh), 99.2, 92.0 (C-1¹ and II), 75.8, 73.1, 72.6, 71.5, 70.7, 69.3, 67.4, 66.9 (C-2 to C-5¹ and II), 17.8, 17.4 (C-6¹ and II); ES-MS: m/z = 853.3 (M + Na)⁺.

2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -D-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzoyl-D-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (14). To a solution of the hydroxy compound 13 (47 mg, 57 μmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added trichloroacetonitrile (29 µL, 283 µmol, 5 equiv.) and DBU (4 µL, 26 µmol, 0.5 equiv.). The solution was stirred for 1 h at rt, then concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc, 3: 1 v/v) using silica gel neutralized with triethylamine to give the trichloroacetimidate 14 (52 mg, 94%). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.83 (1H, s, NH), 8.29–7.18 (25H, m, COPh), 6.51 (1H, d, $J_{1.2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-1¹), 5.75–5.69 (2H, m, H-2^{I or II} and H-4^{I or II}), 5.61 (1H, dd, $J_{3,4} = 10.0$ Hz, H-3^{II}), 5.52 (1H, t, $J_{4,5} =$ 10.0 Hz, H-4^{I or II}), 5.34 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ Hz, H-2^{I or II}); 5.26 (1H, bs, H-1^{II}), 4.60 (1H, dd, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ and $J_{3,4} = 9.9$ Hz, H-3^I), 4.33–4.18 (2H, m, H-5^{I and II}), 1.41 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6^{I or II}), 1.20 (3H, d, $J_{56} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6^{1 or II}); δ_C (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 165.8, 165.6, 165.5, 164.9, 164.7 (COPh), 159.9 (CNH), 133.7–128.1 (COPh), 99.3, 94.6 (C-1^{I and II}), 75.1, 72.5, 71.4, 70.7, 70.4, 69.8, 69.2, 67.6 (C-2 to C-5^{I and II}), 17.8, 17.4 (C-6^{I and II}); ES-MS: m/z = $996.2 (M + Na)^+$.

Allyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- α -D-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-*O*-benzoyl- α -D-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- α -Dgalactopyranoside (5). A suspension of trichloroacetimidate 14 (149 mg, 150 µmol, 1 equiv.), acceptor 7 (160 mg, 300 µmol, 2 equiv.) and freshly powdered 4 Å molecular sieves in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was stirred for 1 h at rt under nitrogen atmosphere, cooled to -50 °C, and followed by the addition of a solution of TMSOTf (14 μL, 76 μmol, 0.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). After stirring for 30 min at -50 °C, the mixture was raised to rt, neutralized by adding triethylamine, filtrated through Celite, and concentrated. Flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc, 6:1 v/v) afforded the trisaccharide 5 (132 mg, 66%). $[a]_D^{25} = -7$ (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); δ_H (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.16–7.17 (40H, m, COPh), 5.92–5.73 (3H, m, including OCH₂CHCH₂ and H-3¹), 5.64–5.60 (2H, m, including H-2^{II}), 5.56–5.47 (2H, m, H-4^{II and III}), 5.43 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 5.38-5.34 (2H, m), 5.24 (1H, d, J = 1.4 Hz), 5.30-5.11 (2H, m, OCH_2CHCH_2), 4.88 (1H, dd, $J_{5,6a} = 5.5$ and $J_{6a,6b} = 9.9$ Hz, H-6a¹), $4.66 (1H, bd, J = 1.9 Hz, H-4^{I}), 4.61-4.89 (3H, m, H-3^{II}, H-5^{I})$ and H-6b^I), 4.29–4.23 (2H, m, H-5^{II} and OC H_2 CHCH₂), 4.18–4.06 $(2H, m, H-5^{III} \text{ and } OCH_2CHCH_2), 1.16 (3H, d, J_{56} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, H 6^{\text{III}}$), 0.66 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}$, H- 6^{II}); δ_{C} (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 166.1, 166.1, 166.0, 165.9, 165.7, 165.5, 164.9, 164.7 (COPh), 133.4-128.1 (COPh and OCH₂CHCH₂), 117.8 (OCH₂CHCH₂), 99.5, 98.7, 95.6 (C-1^{I,II and III}, ${}^{1}J_{C-1,H-1} = 171.4$, 173.4 and 172.3 Hz), 76.2, 75.9, 72.6, 72.5, 71.5, 70.5, 70.1, 69.5, 68.8, 68.8, 67.8, 67.7, 67.5 (C-2 to C-5^{I, II and III} and OCH₂CHCH₂), 62.0 (C-6^I), 17.3, 17.2 (C- $6^{I \text{ and II}}$); ES-MS: $m/z = 1367.4 \text{ (M + Na)}^+$; ES-HRMS: $m/z \text{ (M + Na)}^+$ Na)+, C₇₇H₆₈O₂₂Na requires 1367.4094; found: 1367.4062. Anal. calcd for C₇₇H₆₈O₂₂: C 68.74, H 5.09; found: C 68.85; H, 5.71.

N-Acetyl-(*S*)-{3-[2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-2,4-di-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-galactopyranosyloxylpropyl}-L-cysteine methyl ester (15). To a solution of trisaccharide 5 (33.2 mg, 25 μmol) in deoxygenated THF (3 mL) was added *N*-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester (22.4 mg, 126 μmol, 5 equiv) and a catalytic amount of

AIBN. The reaction was irradiated at 254 nm under nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h, and then the solvent was evaporated and taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL). Resin Trt-Cl (350 mg, 0.9 mmol g⁻¹) and DIPEA (20 µL, 121 µmol, 5 equiv.) were added and stirred for 2 h. The solution was then filtrated and concentrated. Flash chromatography (toluene-AcOEt, 7:3 v/v) afforded derivative **15** (29.7 mg, 79%). $[a]_D^{25} = +10$ (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); δ_H (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.16-7.20 (40H, m, COPh), 6.47 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, NH), 5.78–5.67 (2H, m), 5.64–5.59 (2H, m), 5.56–5.47 (2H, m, H-4^{II and III}), 5.38–5.34 (3H, m), 5.24 (1H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 4.87 (1H, dd, J = 6.2 and 10.7 Hz), 4.80-4.74 (1H, m, SCH₂CH),4.63-4.46 (4H, m), 4.29-4.23 (1H, m, H-5^{II}), 4.15-4.10 (1H, m, H-5^{III}), 3.89-3.82 (1H, m, OCH₂CH₂CH₂S), 3.73 (3H, s, CO_2CH_3), 3.58 (1H, m, $OCH_2CH_2CH_2S$), 2.93–2.80 (2H, m, SCH_2CH_2 , 2.58–2.53 (2H, m, $OCH_2CH_2CH_2S$), 2.03 (3H, s, NHCOCH₃), 1.88-1.78 (2H, m, OCH₂CH₂CH₂S), 1.15 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6^{III}), 0.66 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6^{II}); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 171.2, 169.8 (NHCOCH₃ and CO₂CH₃), 166.1, 166.1, 166.1, 166.0, 165.7, 165.5, 164.9, 164.7 (COPh), 133.5–128.1 (CO*Ph*), 99.5, 98.7, 96.5 (C-1^{I,II and III}), 76.2, 75.8, 72.6, 72.5, 71.5, 70.5, 70.1, 69.5, 69.0, 67.7, 67.6, 67.5, 66.5 (C-2 to C-5^{I, II and III} and OCH₂(CH₂)₂S), 62.0 (C-6^I), 52.6, 51.8 (SCH₂CH and CO₂CH₃), 34.3, 29.5, 29.0, 23.0 (OCH₂(CH₂)₂SCH₂CH and NHCOCH₃), 17.3, 17.2 (C-6^{I and II}); ES-MS: m/z = 1522.0 (M + H)⁺; ES-HRMS: m/z (M + H)⁺, $C_{83}H_{80}NO_{25}S$ requires 1522.4735; found: 1522.4724.

Methyl 2-(S)-acetamido-6-[2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-α-D-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2,4-di-O-benzoyl- α -D-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -**2,3,6-tri-***O*-benzoyl-α-D-galactopyranosyloxy]hexanoate (16). To a solution of 5 (20.6 mg, 15.3 μmol) and N-acetyl-L-allylglycine methyl ester (13.1 mg, 76.5 µmol, 5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added Grubbs' first-generation catalyst (1.2 mg, 1.5 μmol, 0.1 equiv) and the reaction was refluxed. After 8 h, the solution was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (toluene-EtOAc, 1:1 v/v). The resulting mixture of isomers was dissolved in MeOH (1 mL), and Pd/C (1 mg) was added. Hydrogen was bubbled into the solution until disappearance of the starting material. After this time, the reaction mixture was filtered over Celite, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (toluene–EtOAc, 1 : 1 v/v) to give **16** (13.6 mg, 60%). $[a]_D^{25}$ = +3 (c 0.3 in CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): 8.16–7.05 (40H, m, COPh), 6.20 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, NH), 5.74–5.68 (2H, m, H-3^I and H-2^{II}), 5.64-5.60 (2H, m), 5.55-5.48 (2H, m, H- $4^{\text{II and III}}$), 5.36–5.33 (3H, m), 5.29–5.25 (1H, m), 4.90–4.87 (1H, m, H-6a^I), 4.67–4.57 (2H, m, O(CH₂)₄CH and H-4^I), 4.55–4.45 $(3H, m, H-3^{II}, H-5^{I} \text{ and } H-6b^{I}), 4.31-4.22 (1H, m, H-5^{II}), 4.17-$ 4.06 (1H, m, H-5^{III}), 3.78–3.75 (1H, m, OC H_2 (CH₂)₃CH), 3.69 NHCOC H_3), 1.66–1.58 (2H, m, O(CH₂)₃C H_2 CH), 1.36–1.26 (4H, m, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH), 1.15 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6^{III}), 0.65 (3H, d, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6^{II}); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): 170.1, 169.6 (NHCOCH₃ and CO₂CH₃), 166.5, 166.3, 166.2, 166.1, 166.1, 166.0, 165.8, 165.5 (COPh), 133.3–128.1 (COPh), 99.5, 98.7, 96.3 $(C-1^{I,II \text{ and }III})$, 77.9, 76.5, 72.6, 72.6, 71.4, 70.5, 69.4, 68.9, 68.8, 68.1, 67.6, 67.5, 67.3 (C-2 to C-5^{I, II and III} and OCH₂(CH₂)₃CH), 61.8 $(C-6^{III})$, 52.3, 51.9 $(O(CH_2)_4CH$ and $CO_2CH_3)$, 32.1, 29.7, 28.8, 21.9 (OCH₂(CH₂)₃CH and NHCOCH₃), 17.3, 17.2 (C-6^{I and II}); ES-HRMS: m/z (M + H)⁺, $C_{83}H_{80}NO_{25}$ requires 1490.5030; found: 1490.5013.

N-Acetyl-(S)- $\{3-[\alpha-D-rhamnopyranosyl-(1\rightarrow 3)-\alpha-D-rhamnopy$ ranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-galactopyranosyloxy|propyl}-L-cysteine methyl ester (17). To a solution of 15 (19.8 mg, $13 \mu mol$) in THF (0.2 mL) was added a solution of LiOH (9.0 mg, 210 µmol, 16 equiv.) in H₂O (0.5 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight at rt and then acidified using Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) resin. After filtration, the filtrate was concentrated and lyophilized, to afford the trisaccharide 17 (8,7 mg, quantitative yield). [a]_D²⁵ = +86 (c 0.1 in MeOH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (D₂O, 300 MHz): 5.05 (1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz), 4.95-4.76 (3H, m), 4.36 (1H, q, J = 4.5 and 8.1 Hz, 4.18-3.78 (11H, m), 3.72-3.70 (2H, m),3.63-3.44 (3H, m), 3.05 (1H, dd, J = 4.1 and 13.8 Hz), 2.91-2.83 (1H, m), 2.70 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.00–1.89 (2H, m), 1.30 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6^{II or III}), 1.26 (3H, d, $J_{5.6} =$ $6.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-}6^{\text{II or III}}$); δ_{C} (D₂O, 75 MHz): 180.8, 180.8 (NH*C*OCH₃ and CO₂H), 103.0, 102.2, 99.0 (C-1^{I, II} and III), 79.1, 78.5, 72.6, 71.9, 71.8, 70.8, 70.8, 70.7, 70.0, 69.8, 69.5, 69.1, 67.3 (C-2 to C- $5^{I, II \text{ and } III}$ and OCH₂(CH₂)₂S), 61.3 (C-6¹), 55.1 (SCH₂CH), 34.4, 29.2, 29.0, 23.1 $(OCH_2(CH_2)_2SCH_2CH \text{ and } NHCOCH_3), 17.3, 17.2 (C-6^{I \text{ and } II});$ ES-HRMS: m/z (M + Na)⁺, $C_{26}H_{45}NO_{17}SNa$ requires 698.2300; found: 698.2279.

Acknowledgements

This work received support from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for a Canadian Research Chair in Therapeutic Chemistry.

References

1 E. Yabuuchi, Y. Kosako, H. Oyaizu, I. Yano, H. Hotta, Y. Hashimoto, T. Esaki and M. Arakawa, Microbiol. Immunol., 1992, 36, 1251; D. P. Speert, Paediatr. Respir. Rev., 2002, 3, 230; L. Saiman and J. Siegel, Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 2004, 17, 57.

- 2 A. M. Jones, M. E. Dodd and A. K. Webb, Eur. Respir. J., 2001, 17, 295
- 3 P. D. Rodley, U. Romling and B. Tummler, Mol. Microbiol., 1995, 17, 57; D. L. Kiska, A. Kerr, M. C. Jones, J. A. Caracciolo, B. Eskridge, M. Jordan, S. Miller, D. Hugues, N. King and P. H. Gilligan, J. Clin. Microbiol., 1996, 34, 886.
- 4 S. Cérantola and H. Montrozier, Eur. J. Biochem., 1997, 246, 360.
- 5 For reviews on bacterial polysaccharide-based vaccines, see: A. H. Lucas, M. A. Apicella and C. E. Taylor, Clin. Infect. Dis., 2005, 41, 705; R. Roy, Drug Discovery Today, 2004, 1, 327; B. Kuberan and R. J. Linhardt, Curr. Org. Chem., 2000, 4, 653; J. B. Robbins, R. Schneerson, S. C. Szu and V. Pozsgay, Pure Appl. Chem., 1999, 71, 745; V. Pozsgay, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 2000, 56, 153; H. J. Jennings, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 1983, 41, 155.
- 6 V. Verez-Bencomo, V. Fernández-Santana, E. Hardy, M. E. Toledo, M. C. Rodríguez, L. Heynngnezz, A. Rodriguez, A. Baly, L. Herrera, M. Izquierdo, A. Villar, Y. Valdés, K. Cosme, M. L. Deler, M. Montane, E. Garcia, A. Ramos, A. Aguilar, E. Medina, G. Toraño, I. Sosa, I. Hernandez, R. Martínez, A. Muzachio, A. Carmenates, L. Costa, F. Cardoso, C. Campa, M. Diaz and R. Roy, Science, 2004, 305, 522.
- 7 G. Ragupathi, F. Koide, P. O. Livingston, Y. S. Cho, A. Endo, Q. Wan, M. K. Spassova, S. J. Keding, J. Allen, O. Ouerfelli, R. M. Wilson and S. J. Danishefsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 2715; T. Buskas, S. Ingale and G.-J. Boons, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5985.
- 8 P. H. Seeberger, R. L. Soucy, Y.-U. Kwon, D. A. Snyder and T. Kanemitsu, Chem. Commun., 2004, 15, 1706.
- 9 M. Mandal, V. Y. Dudkin, X. Geng and S. J. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2557; X. Geng, V. Y. Dudkin, M. Mandal and S. J. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2562.
- 10 R. Fauré, T. C. Shiao, S. Damerval and R. Roy, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, **48**, 2385
- 11 H. A. El-Shenawy and C. Schuerch, Carbohydr. Res., 1984, 131, 227.
- 12 K. Block and C. Pedersen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1974, 293.
- 13 R. Roy, M.-G. Baek and K. Rittenhouse-Olson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 1809.
- 14 Q. Wan, S. Young, T. H. Lambert and S. J. Danishefsky, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 2005, 24, 425; R. Roy and S. K. Das, Chem. Commun., 2000, 7, 519; R. Roy, R. Dominique and S. K. Das, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 5408; Y.-J. Hu and R. Roy, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 3305.
- 15 W. L. F. Armarego and D. D. Perrin, in *Purification of Laboratory* Chemicals, Pergamon Press, New York, 2nd edn, 1985.
- 16 H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar and A. Nudelman, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62,